

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,608 02/23/2004		Peter Ramyalal Suaris	1011-67730	3195	
24197	7590	11/27/2006		EXAMINER	
KLARQUI 121 SW SAI		RKMAN, LLP	WHITMORE, STACY		
SUITE 1600		IREEI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PORTLAND, OR 97204				2825	
				DATE MAILED: 11/27/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W	
---	--

		1	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/785,608	SUARIS ET AL.					
			xaminer	Art Unit					
			Stacy A. Whitmore	2825					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appea	rs on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	ddress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this community of period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DAT f 37 CFR 1.136(a nication. utory period will a rill, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COMMUNI a). In no event, however, may a apply and will expire SIX (6) MO use the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status									
1)[🔀]	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 13 Nov	ember 2006						
	•		ction is non-final.						
		·—		ters prosecution as to the	e merits is				
٠,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims			3. 11, 100 0.0. 210.					
		nlication							
	Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-65</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
· · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or o	laction requirement						
ا (۵	ciain(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or e	rection requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 February 20</u>	<u>004</u> is/are: :	a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Exami	ner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objecti								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the				FR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)(a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	•		received in this National	Stage				
	application from the Internationa	•	` ''						
* S	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of	the certified copies not	received.					
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) 🔯 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	O-948)	Paper No	s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/13/2006 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:									
0,									

Application/Control Number: 10/785,608

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-13 in the reply filed on November 13, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search and/or examination can be made without serious burden. This is not found persuasive because the claimed groups of different methods (species of) re-implementing memory modules would require different searches.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fung (US Patent Application Publication 2005/0204325) in view of Wallace (US Patent Application Publication 2006/0117280).
- 3. As for the claims, Fung discloses the invention substantially as claimed, including:
- 1. A method of re-implementing at least one memory module having an undesirable timing delay, the at least one memory module being on an FPGA device, the FPGA device comprising generic logic blocks and dedicated logic blocks, the at least one

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/785,608

Art Unit: 2825

memory module being implemented by a first set of at least one of the logic blocks, the at least one logic block of said first set has at least one critical pin, the method comprising:_

Page 3

- (a) identifying the at least one memory module [abstract];
- (b) selecting a second set of logic blocks for use in re-implementing said at least one memory module, at least a first logic block of the said second set having, the first logic block of the second set being non-identical

to the at least one logic block of the first set [paragraphs 0009-0010, 0013-0018, 0022, 0038, 0046, and 0048]; and

- (c) selectively re-implementing the at least one memory module using the second set of logic blocks in the event that re-implementation using the second set of logic blocks reduces the undesirable timing delay of the at least one memory module [paragraphs 0009-0010, 0013-0018, 0022, 0038, 0046, and 0048];
- 2. A method according to claim 1 wherein the second set comprises at least one dedicated memory logic block and at least one generic logic block [paragraphs 0009-0010, 0013-0018, 0022, 0038, 0046, and 0048 especially paragraph 0038 where the re-implemented logic blocks may be of any nature, including memory blocks as well as any other logic element];
- 3. A method according to claim 1 wherein the first set comprises only generic logic blocks and the second set comprises at least one dedicated memory logic block [paragraphs 0009-0010, 0013-0018, 0022, 0038, 0046, and 0048 especially paragraph 0038 where the implemented and re-implemented logic blocks may be of any nature, including memory blocks as well as any other logic element];
- 4. A method according to claim 1 wherein the first set comprises at least one dedicated memory logic block and the second set comprises at least one more dedicated memory logic block than the said first set [paragraphs 0009-0010, 0013-0018, 0022, 0038, 0046, and 0048 especially paragraph 0038 where the implemented and reimplemented logic blocks may be of any nature, including memory blocks as well as any other logic element];
- 5. A method according to claim 1 wherein the first set comprises at least one dedicated

Application/Control Number: 10/785,608 Page 4

Art Unit: 2825

memory logic block and the second set comprises only generic logic blocks [paragraphs 0009-0010, 0013-0018, 0022, 0038, 0046, and 0048 – especially paragraph 0038 where the implemented and re-implemented logic blocks may be of any nature, including memory blocks as well as any other logic element];

- 6. A method according to claim 1 wherein the act of identifying the at least one memory module comprises performing physical timing analysis on at least said one memory module, the method further comprising performing physical timing analysis on said at least one memory module, and wherein the method further comprises performing physical timing analysis on the re-implemented memory module using the second set of logic blocks prior to selecting the re-implementation of the at least one memory module using the second set of logic blocks [paragraphs 0009-0010, 0013-0018, 0022, 0038, 0046, and 0048 especially paragraph 0038 where the implemented and re-implemented logic blocks may be of any nature, including memory blocks as well as any other logic element; paragraphs 0046 physical timing analysis, 0048, 0052 meaning that during the iterative process, Fung may reverse decisions or may come early to irreversible (or correct) decisions]:
- 7. A method according to claim 1 comprising the act of reversing the re-implementation to an implementation of the at least one memory module using the first set of logic blocks in the event the undesirable timing delay of the at least one memory module is not sufficiently reduced upon re-implementation using the second set of logic blocks [paragraphs 0048, 0052 meaning that during the iterative process, Fung may reverse decisions or may come early to irreversible (or correct) decisions];
- 8. A method according to claim 1 comprising repeating the acts of claim 1 for one or more additional sets of logic blocks which are non-identical to one another and which are non-identical to the first and second sets [paragraphs 0009-0010, 0013-0018, 0022, 0038, 0046, and 0048 especially paragraph 0038 where the reimplemented logic blocks may be of any nature, including memory blocks as well as any other logic element further Fung's process is iterative or updated];
- 9. A method according to claim 8 in which the selectively re-implementing act

Application/Control Number: 10/785,608

Art Unit: 2825

comprises the act of selecting a set of logic blocks for re-implementing the at least one memory module which eliminates the undesirable timing delay [paragraphs 0009-0010, 0013-0018, 0022, 0038, 0046, and 0048 – especially paragraph 0038 where the re-implemented logic blocks may be of any nature, including memory blocks as well as any other logic element];

- 10. A method according to claim 8 comprising the act of reversing a subsequent reimplementation of the at least one memory module to a prior implementation or prior re-implementation in the event the undesirable timing delay of the at least one memory module is not sufficiently reduced by the subsequent re-implementation of the at least one memory module [paragraphs 0046 physical timing analysis, 0048, 0052 meaning that during the iterative process, Fung may reverse decisions or may come early to irreversible (or correct) decisions];
- 11. A computer programmed to implement the method of claim 1 [paragraph 0137-0138];
- 12. Computer readable media programmed with computer readable instructions to carry out the method of claim 1 [paragraph 0137-0138];
- 13. For use in a computer system, a design database of FPGA programming instructions stored on computer readable media for a re-implemented memory module which has been re-implemented in accordance with the method of claim 1 [paragraph 0137-0138];

Fung does not specifically disclose that the logic block of the second set has a pin that is logically equivalent to said at least one critical pin of the at least one logic block of said first set or performing the physical timing analysis to identify the at least one critical pin of said first set of at least one logic block.

Wallace discloses swapping circuits with equivalent pins and identifying critical pins [paragraphs 0028, 0033, 0035, 0037-0039].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Fung and Wallace because utilizing reimplemented logic with equivalent pins or performing physical timing analysis for pin criticality within Fung's system would have improved Fung's design by providing a fix for timing problems by switching to faster pins of re-implemented logic blocks [see Wallace, especially paragraph 0038].

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stacy A. Whitmore whose telephone number is (571) 272-1685. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, alternate Friday 6:30am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Chiang can be reached on (571) 272-7483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Stacy A Whitmore
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2825

10 m

SAW

November 22, 2006